Commissioning of the ATLAS experiment towards first LHC physics (Lecture 3)

Maria Jose Costa, IFIC-Valencia S. Martí i Garcia, IFIC-Valencia

> Taller de Altas Energías TAE 2009 Oviedo, 10th September 2009



Contents and lectures

- What is ATLAS?
- The complete operation chain
- Reconstruction: from raw data to physics input objects
- Track reconstruction and alignment
- How the full operation chain is being commissioned?
- Results from cosmic rays and LHC single beam analysis
- Strategy towards first LHC physics results

Lecture 1:

M.J.Costa

Lecture 2:

S. Martí

Lecture 3:

M.J.Costa

Commissioning of the ATLAS experiment

Commissioning Strategy

2 complementary strategies are being followed in parallel in order to put in place different aspects of the chain:

Real data: Operate the ATLAS detector to collect data from: • Cosmic rays (since 2005) • LHC single beam operations (3 days Sep 2008) Real data → Useful to: • Commission the full operation chain (TAQ, monitoring, alignment & calibration, reconstruction, computing, analysis) • Gain experience in operating the detector (from TDAQ up to analysis in the GRID) • Get to know the detector • Provide first alignment and calibration constants • Measure the detector performance from data • First tuning of simulation to reproduce data	Simulation: Several data challenges of increasing functionalities, size and realism. Examples: . Computing System Commissioning CSC 2007 @ 14 TeV . Calibration Data Challenge CDC 2007 @ 14 TeV . Full Dress Rehearsal 2008 @ 14 TeV . MC08 @ 10 TeV . MC09 @ 10 TeV . MC09 @ 10 TeV . TDAQ Technical Runs LHC like collisions data → Useful to: . test the complete analysis model . test the complete analysis model . test the computing model . study expected detector performance and physics potential . test reconstruction, calibrations & alignment . develop methods to measure performance from data
 First tuning of simulation to reproduce data 	from data • improve physics analysis



Shutdown winter 2008-2009

The winter shutdown was well profited to repair problems detected during 2008

Present status of the ATLAS dete	ctor
----------------------------------	------

Sub-detector	N. of channels	Fraction of working detector (%)
Pixels Silicon strip detector (SCT) Transition Radiation Tracker (TRT) LAr electromagnetic calorimeter Fe/scintillator (Tilecal) calorimeter Hadronic end-cap LAr calorimeter Forward LAr calorimeter Muon Drift Tube chambers (MDT) Barrel muon trigger chambers (RPC)	$\begin{array}{r} 80 \times 10^{6} \\ 6 \times 10^{6} \\ 3.5 \times 10^{5} \\ 1.7 \times 10^{5} \\ 9800 \\ 5600 \\ 3500 \\ 3.5 \times 10^{5} \\ 3.7 \times 10^{5} \\ \end{array}$	98.5 ~99.5 98.2 99.5 ~99.5 99.9 100 99.3 ~ 95.5 (aim: > 98.5 by first beams)
End-cap muon trigger chambers (TGC)	3.2x10 ⁵	> 99.5

Data taking in 2009



7

Data challenges with simulation

Simulated data always comes before real data, so these challenges have been done for a longer time.

- The most recent ones are:
 - Computing System Commissioning CSC, @ 14 TeV (2007)
 - Calibration Data Challenge CDC, @ 14 TeV (2007)
 Full Dress Rehearsal FDR, @ 14 TeV (2008)

 - MC08 @ 10 TeV (2008-2009)
 - MC09 @ 10 TeV (2009)
 - Scale Testing for Experiments Program STEP09
- Obviously, no time to cover all of them

• The last part of this Lecture (Strategy towards first LHC physics results) will cover part of the performance and physics studies done with these data.

 \rightarrow So just a few words about STEP09 and FDR

Scale testing for experiment program 2009

An offline commissioning computing test done with other LHC experiments:

- Monte Carlo Production: 12 M events
- Full chain data distribution:
 4PB
- Re-processing @ Tier1's centers
- User analysis challenge: ~1M analysis jobs





g

Data transfer TierO \rightarrow Tier-1s and Tier-1s \rightarrow Tier-2s Higher peak rate than nominal (1-2 GB/s at LHC) sustained over 2 weeks

Full Dress Rehearsal

Realistic test of the processing chain from online to physics analysis including all major steps:

- exercise full software infrastructure
- perform calibration and alignment in 24 hours and provide data monitoring
- provide sample for emulation of early physics data



Full Dress Rehearsal

STEPS FOLLOWED

• Mix simulated event samples quasi-realistic compositions intro raw data streams

- Pre-load output buffers of online farm (SFO), copy to TierO (300MB/s)
- Process express stream
 @ TierO as data comes in and apply data quality monitoring
- Run calibration & alignment tasks @ calibration centers
- Sign-off and run bulk data reconstruction @ Tier0
- Distribute output to Tier1s and Tier2s
- Re-process data @ Tier1s
- Perform user analyses
- @ Tier2s or Tier3s

How a few hours of ATLAS typical running @ LHC L= 10³¹⁻³² cm⁻²s⁻¹ were emulated?



Results of cosmic rays analysis (based on data from the 2008 combined run)





Where do most events come from?



What we can learn towards LHC physics

- Are the detectors OK? i.e. within the required (imposed by physics) specifications?
 - Efficiencies
 - Noise
 - Number of operating channels
- Keep in mind that all this was tested during construction and in test beams, but is it still the case after installation in the cavern?
- Is the reconstruction OK?
 - Tracking, energy reconstruction, muon identification & reconstruction, etc
 - Measure its performance from data
- Can we try to align and calibrate the detectors with these kind of events?
 - The physics we want to do imposes very challenging requirements in terms of knowing well the position of the detector and their calibrations
 - To reach the required precision we will have to wait for collisions data, but what can already be done?
- Tune the Monte Carlo to reproduce well the data
- Cosmic rays are now our signal but once LHC starts operation it will be the background → Get to know the background and how to reject it!

ATLAS is seriously focusing on the understanding of the detector performance making use of the collected cosmic rays data I can only show here a few examples of each of these 5 mentioned essential topics



Is the reconstruction ok? Ex: Tracking

ESTIMATION OF TRACKING PERFORMANCE FROM DATA

Cosmic tracks cross both the upper and bottom hemispheres of the detector \rightarrow

Method:

• Split tracks in the center and re-fit each individually • Look at the difference of the track parameters of both track (μ, σ)

\rightarrow Obtain biases and resolutions

Is the reconstruction ok?

Ex: Tracking in the Inner Detector and Muon Spectrometer

The difference between the momentum measured by the Inner Detector and the one measured by the Muon Spectrometer should correspond to the energy deposited in the material in between (mostly calorimeters) \rightarrow a peak ~3 GeV is obtained as expected!

MPV of E loss by a 10 GeV muon from the beam pipe to the exit of the calorimeter given by GEANT4

Can we try to align and calibrate?

Ex: Inner Detector Alignment

- The position of the modules has to be known extremely well for physics
 @ LHC (e.g. a precise measurement of the W mass)
- Cosmic tracks have been used to obtain a first alignment of the inner detector

A precision ~ 20 μm has been reached for the Silicon detectors (ultimate goal 5-10 μm)

Ex: SCT cluster width Tuning the Monte Carlo

Cosmic rays can traverse the SCT modules with large Average cluster size MC (not tuned) incident angles \rightarrow cluster width (#strips with signal) 3.5 Data increases B field (solid) B=O (opened) t=10, l=1560 2.5 AIストリッフ 1.5 p implant n bulk -80 -60 -40 -20 0 20 Incidence angle (degrees) 100 逆バイアス電圧 Data/MC discrepancies particle can be cured by tuning the model that emulates the electronics Mean cluster width 200 qsup Rmin Digi step 90 µm Diai step 50 um Digi step 20 µm Digi step 5 µm, 1 charge 4.5 2.5 Cosmic ray 1.5 1 -40 20 -80 -60 -20 0 40

60

80

Phi / dea

SCT

60

80

40

Get to know physics background

 Jets and high missing E_⊥ can originate from high energy cosmic muons passing through the calorimeters →

Cleaning cuts have been found to reject cosmic events, checking that MC reproduces well the data

Event in which a cosmic muon deposited > 1 TeV in the Hadronic Calorimeter

LHC single beam

- Data collected
- What have we learnt from it? (some analysis results)

LHC start-up conditions

LHC data in ATLAS (Sep 10th-12th):

- 1 bunch of 2 · 10⁹ p at 450 GeV
- Start stopping beam on collimators, re-align with center, open collimators, keep going → expected:
 - Splash events when collimators closed
 - Beam halo and beam-gas events
- ATLAS was ready for first beam:
 - SCT, muon chambers and forward calorimeter at reduced HV and Pixels OFF for safety reasons.
 - LVL1 processor and DAQ up and running, HLT available (but only used for streaming)

First splash event seen by ATLAS

Splash events

- Events characterized by:
 - Huge number of signals in the detector
 - Huge energy deposited (HAD cal > 1000 TeV, EM Cal ~ several TeV)
- Excellent for timing studies and to find dead channels.

Beam splashed event in the TRT: These events were used to time in the detector at the ~ 1ns level.

Expected events during circulation of a single beam

- beam gas interactions (this is fixed target physics)
 - E_{cm} = 28 GeV (p p, 450 GeV) or E_{cm} = 113 GeV (p - p, 7 TeV)
 - low p_T tracks, very forward
- rate depends on vacuum conditions + rest gas composition
- beam halo (hadrons, muons)
 - mainly from secondary/tertiary interactions of protons with collimators
 - Traverse the detector horizontally

Beam halo events

- Single LHC proton beam circulating.
- At the beginning, the beam was not well focused → quite a few particles (muons) crossing horizontally the detector.

Beam halo events

 The beam was then very clean (good for physics, harder to time in the detector).

Beam-gas events?

Some candidates for beam hitting the beam pipe found. Beam-gas interactions not observed, probably because of the excellent vacuum in beam pipe but also the Inner detector was not fully ON during this period.

Strategy towards first LHC physics results

First expected LHC data

• LHC plan for 2009-2010:

- run at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV until a significant data sample has been collected.
- And then go up to \sqrt{s} = 10 TeV
- Let's focus mainly on √s = 10 TeV where more studies have been done

Amount of events in some channels with 100 pb⁻¹

Channels (examples)	Expected events in ATLAS after cuts √s= 10 TeV, 100 pb ⁻¹
$J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$	~ 10 ⁶
$Y \rightarrow \mu\mu$	~ 5 10 ⁴
$W \rightarrow \mu\nu$	~ 3 10 ⁵
$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$	~ 3 10 ⁴
$tt \rightarrow W b W b \rightarrow \mu\nu + X$	~ 350
QCD jets p _T > 1 TeV	~ 500
\tilde{g}, \tilde{q} m ~ 1 TeV	~ 5

In addition, > 1M minimum bias (MB) events with 10pb⁻¹

The road towards physics

The strategy towards physics

- Commission and calibrate the detector using well known physics samples
 - Using MB, J/ψ , Y, W, Z, etc)
- "Re-discover" and measure Standard
 Model at √s = 10 TeV
 - Particle multiplicity in MB
 - QCD jet cross section
 - W, Z cross section
 - Observe top signal, measure tt cross section
- First tuning of Monte Carlo
 - MB, underlying event, tt, W/Z+jets, etc
- Measure main backgrounds to new physics
 - W/Z+jets, tt+jets, QCD jets, etc
- Early discoveries
 - Potentially accessible: Z', SUSY, ... surprises?

Will only show some examples in each step

Obtain muon efficiencies from data

Observe top signal and measure σ_{tt} , M_t

Tuning Minimum bias

A lot of work to be done before claiming discoveries, will take time but it is

Z' potential discovery

Commission and calibrate detector

Example: Muon Performance In-Situ determination

Muon Spectrometer muon efficiency can be determined using e.g. $J/\psi/Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ via tag &probe:

- tag muon: combined muon track reconstructed in both ID and MS
- probe muon: ID track
- $M_{inv}(\mu_{tag}, \mu_{probe}) \sim M_{J/\psi}$ Cuts to reject background

Tag & probe methods to determine muon efficiency

Re-discover and measure Standard Model

Observe top signal and measurements

- Top signal observable in early days with no b-tagging and simple analysis
- Measure $\sigma_{\rm tt}$ to 10-20% and $\rm M_{top}$ to 10 GeV with ~100 $\rm pb^{-1}$

 contain most physics objects: leptons, jets, E_T^{miss}, b-jets
 background to ~ all searches
 when top measured, experiment is ready for discovery phase Semi-leptonic tt channel (golden channel): tt → bW bW → blv bjj

First tuning of Monte Carlo

Minimum bias, dominant process at the LHC \rightarrow \cdot its modeling is a necessary tool for high p_ physics

• early measurement ~1 $pb^{-1} \neq easy$ measurement (Challenge to extend tracking to low p_{\perp})

Charged particle density large discrepancies at LHC energies \rightarrow essential measure it and tune MC!

Early discoveries

Heavy resonance decaying into leptons $Z' \rightarrow II$, mass ~ 1 TeV

- Signal is (narrow) mass peak above small and smooth SM background
- Does not require ultimate EM calorimeter performance (however, for $Z' \rightarrow \mu\mu$ Muon Spectrometer alignment is very important)
- Discovery beyond Tevatron exclusion reach (m ~ 1 TeV) possible with 200 pb⁻¹ and $\sqrt{s} \ge 7$ TeV (100 pb⁻¹ at 10 TeV)
- → perhaps sometime in 2010 ?

Summary

- The ATLAS experiment (detector, trigger and data acquisition, data quality, calibration and alignment, data processing and world-wide distribution) is in good shape for the LHC start-up, thanks to:
 - Commissioning with real data: collect cosmic rays & 2008 LHC single beam
 - Commissioning with simulated data: data challenges of increasing functionalities, size and realism
- Detailed analysis of cosmic rays data have allowed to obtain a good understanding of the detector performance and calibration and alignment accuracies good enough for first physics.
- With the first LHC collisions data, the first goal will be to improve further our understanding of the detector performance in order to reach the very challenging physics requirements
- As detector understanding improves, a rich program of early physics will be available with even possible discoveries.

Backup slides

Can we try to align and calibrate?

20

8

residuals [mm]

combining cosmics and optical alignment (red regions are sectors on horizontal plane w/o optical alignment)

Overview of beam injections and ATLAS runs

first beam event seen in ATLAS

first beam event seen in ATLAS